



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/964,775	09/28/2001	David Tarrant	20-974	3002
7590 03/21/2005			EXAMINER	
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC			NGUYEN, DUSTIN	
7th Floor 2000 M Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036-3307			2154	
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/964,775	TARRANT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dustin Nguyen	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 De	ecember 2001	·			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		:			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 - 6 are presented for examination.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Summary of the Invention is not properly described, See MPEP § 608.01 (d)

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - I. "LDAP" on line 12 needs to be spelled out.
 - II. "module c)" online 17 of claim 1 and line 1 of claim 3.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2154

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Win et al. [US Patent No 6,182,142], in view of Dutcher et al. [US Patent No 6,209,032].
- 7. As per claim 1, Win discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a system for managing a computer network having a multiplicity of users, applications programs and servers, the system comprising

user interface means for displaying a list of tasks appropriate to a given user at a given time [Figures 10A-C; and col 19, lines 35-52], said user interface means capable of exchanging data with the computer network [Abstract; and col 1, lines 31-33],

administration [114, Figure 1] means comprising a software object including a set of rules defining the relationships between users, applications programs and servers [col 5, lines

Art Unit: 2154

29-46], said administration means capable of exchanging data with the computer network and with the user interface means [col 6, lines 34-38; and col 7, lines 52-65],

a module comprising database means and one or more LDAP compliant directories for storing user records and/or application program records and/or server records [col 12, lines 55-67; and col 17, lines 19-21], to enable updating of said records in a systematic way [i.e. synchronize] [col 21, lines 3-5], and

the system being adapted and arranged to enable users to cause or permit said administration means to perform one or more tasks from the group consisting of: user record creation; user record updating; user record deletion; application program installation; application program commissioning; application program updating; changing application program location; application program deletion; server commissioning, server updating; server removal [col 13, lines 1-54].

Win does not specifically disclose

synchronisation means for managing and synchronising the exchange of data between said administration means, module c), and the computer network,

Dutcher discloses

synchronisation means for managing and synchronising the exchange of data between said administration means, module c), and the computer network [Figures 8-13; Abstract; col 2, lines 33-49; and col 9, lines 64-col 10, lines 5].

It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Win and Dutcher because Dutcher's teaching of synchronization would allow maintain up to date information to keep its data integrity.

Art Unit: 2154

- 8. As per claim 2, Win discloses which the set of rules is stored as a set of objects in an object-oriented environment [i.e. Java, C/C++] [col 7, lines 14-22].
- 9. As per claim 4, Win does not specifically disclose which the synchronization means includes a databus. Dutcher discloses which the synchronisation means includes a databus [col 4, lines 9-12]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Win and Dutcher because Dutcher's teaching of databus would allow information to communicate between devices internally as well as externally in a consistent manner.
- 10. As per claim 5, Win discloses which there are a plurality of classes of user, each class having a respective set of appropriate tasks [col 20, lines 55-col 21, lines 5].
- 11. As per claim 6, Win discloses which the user record comprises a number of data fields, as specified in Appendix A and B [col 20, lines 65-col 21, lines 5; and col 21 lines 13-16].
- 12. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Win et al. [US Patent No 6,182,142], in view of Dutcher et al. [US Patent No 6,209,032], and further in view of Gullotta et al. [US Patent Application No 2002/0156904].

Art Unit: 2154

- 13. As per claim 3, Win and Dutcher do not specifically disclose which module c) consists of a meta-directory. Gullotta discloses which module c) consists of a meta-directory [paragraph 0082]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Win, Dutcher and Gullotta because Gullotta's teaching of meta-directory would allow only meta-data to be communicated between devices which would reduce network traffic and prevent network congestion.
- O. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dustin Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached at (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2154

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dustin Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2154

THE TOLLANSBEE
SUPERINDER VROSURERUS
THE THE TOLLAND VROSURER 2100